

Team Planning Tool for Florida Postsecondary Comprehensive Transition Programs

Introduction and Resources for the Planning Process

Team Planning Tool for Planning, Implementing, and Evaluating Florida Postsecondary Comprehensive Transition Programs

Developed by: January 2018

Paula D. Kohler, PhD, Professor and Executive Director Drew Andrews, EdD, Technical Assistance Coordinator Caitlyn Bukaty, PhD, Evaluation Coordinator

Florida Center for Students with Unique Abilities University of Central Florida Orlando, FL 32816 407.823.2848 Jennifer Coyle, EdD, Principal Research Associate Western Michigan University

Additional resources are available at: http://www.fcsua.org

This team planning tool is designed to foster implementation of evidence-based and promising practices (EBPPs) in Florida Postsecondary Comprehensive Transition Programs (FPCTP). In addition to the authors, the following individuals contributed significantly to the content regarding the state of science and effective strategies for planning, implementing, and evaluating these programs:

Bea Awoniyi, PhD, Santa Fe College Lyman L. Dukes III, PhD, University of South Florida St. Petersburg Debra Hart, MS, PhD (ABD), University of Massachusetts Boston Margo Vreeburg Izzo, PhD, Ohio State University Tara Rowe, MEd, PhD (ABD), University of North Florida Janice Seabrooks-Blackmore, PhD, University of North Florida Jane Silveria, BS, Division of Career and Adult Education, FLDOE Kristine Webb, PhD, University of North Florida

Bibliography

- Dukes, L. L., III, Madaus, J. W., Faggella-Luby, M., Lombardi, A., & Gelbar, N. (2017). PASSing college: A taxonomy for students with disabilities in postsecondary education. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, *30*(2), 111–122.
- Grigal, M., Hart, D., & Weir, C. (2012). *Think College standards, quality indicators, and benchmarks for inclusive higher education*. Boston, MA: University of Massachusetts Boston, Institute for Community Inclusion.
- Kohler, P. D., Gothberg, J. E., Fowler, C. H., & Coyle, J. (2016). *Taxonomy for transition programming 2.0: A model for planning, organizing, and evaluating transition education, services, and programs*. Western Michigan University. Available at www.transitionTA.org.
- W. K. Kellogg Foundation. (October 2000). Logic Model Development Guide. Battle Creek, MI: Author (www.wkkf.org).

Using the FPCTP Team Planning Tool

| Overview of the FPCTP Team Planning Tool | 4 |
|---|----|
| FPCTP Domains, Benchmarks, Reflective Questions, and Indicators | 5 |
| Program Development Strategies | 17 |
| FPCTP Plan Examples | 20 |

Overview of the FPCTP Team Planning Tool

Although presently formatted as a paper planning tool, this content will soon be a part of the strategic planning system transitionprogramtool.org. It focuses specifically on assisting interdisciplinary postsecondary education teams to review the extent of implementation and effectiveness of their programs serving students with intellectual disabilities on their college and university campuses, identify their strengths and needs, and develop an implementation and evaluation plan to address their needs. To foster program development and improvement grounded in evidence-based and promising practices (EBPPs), the planning process applies Dukes, Madaus, Faggella-Luby, Lombardi, and Gelbar's (2017) PASS model for conceptualizing research regarding postsecondary education services for students with disabilities, the *Taxonomy for Transition Programming 2.0*. (Kohler, Gothberg, Fowler, & Coyle, 2016) and the Think College Standards (Grigal, Hart, & Weir, 2012) to present inclusive postsecondary education and transition practices content in four domains: **student-focused, faculty and staff-focused, program and institution-focused, and concept and system development**. Each of these domains includes specific benchmarks through which we synthesize the EBPPs.

To help you apply this information to your specific context, the FPCTP Team Planning Tool includes two primary documents: (a) Introduction and Resources and (b) Steps 1 – 3: Assign Team, Assess Status, Make a Plan.

This *Introduction and Resources* document presents the content domains and each benchmark within them, as well as a set of reflective questions and indicators. Refer to this information as your team examines the degree to which you are implementing the practices described (in Steps 1 – 3). The reflective questions are designed to help you go beyond simple "yes" or "no" answers as you reflect on implementation and identify **evidence** that supports your reflection.

The tool is also designed to help you apply specific *program development strategies* through your planning and implementation process to facilitate implementation of the EBPPs. These strategies are organized into four areas: **data-based decision-making, capacity building, resource mapping and development, and partnership development**. We describe each strategy in this introduction document and when you reach the planning steps in Step 3, you identify which strategies you will use and how you will use them. Throughout this process, use data from a range of sources to help guide your self-assessment and planning processes. By knowing what works and what doesn't, you can build on your strengths and avoid ineffective or inefficient strategies.

The *Steps 1 – 3: Assign Team, Assess Status, Make a Plan* document provides a framework to examine the degree to which you are implementing the practices described. If your institution is not currently serving students with intellectual disabilities, reflect on how you are serving students with disabilities in general and what you need to do to start a FPCTP. In **Step 1**, identify your team members and record their contact information. Then in **Step 2**, reflect on levels of implementation within each domain and consider the availability and quality of your data. In this step, summarize the information generated, and from that summary articulate your strengths and needs and prioritize those needs. Subsequently, identify for which needs you want to make a plan. In **Step 3**, identify a goal to address your needs, select strategies to pursue those goals, then develop your plan details including tasks, person responsible, timelines, outputs, intended outcomes, indicators, and data sources. Again, use the examples within the Introduction and Resources document as a reference.

This tool is designed to be used through a continuous improvement process that includes data collection and review, planning, evaluation, and assessment of goal attainment that addresses student recruitment, enrollment, advising, completion, and employment outcomes.

Postsecondary Education Program Domains, Benchmarks, Reflective Questions, and Indicators

| | Domain 1: Student-Focused | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| | Benchmark | Reflective Questions | Sample Indicators | |
| 1.1 | of study that aligns with their personal, academic, and career goals and interests as established through person-centered planning that includes the use of existing and or new, relevant assessments. | What credential options are available for FPCTP students? What person-centered planning process is used to identify the student's target credential and program of study? What assessment information is used to inform students' person-centered planning process? How do students make choices about electives? What process is used to keep students' person-centered planning goals and objectives up-to-date? What process is used to update student progress towards their person-centered planning goals? How will/are students participating in inclusive: Credit bearing classes Non-credit bearing classes Classes for audit Work-based learning (and types) Employment How do students build: Study skills Learning strategies Transportation skills Independent living skills Occupational skills Employability skills What kinds of service-learning experiences are students engaging in through their program of study? How do students engage in social activities and other non-academic opportunities with peers without disabilities on campus? | # or % of students in courses for credit # or % of students in courses for non-credit # or % of students in courses for audit # or % of students in work experiences # or % of students who make SAP # or % of students updating their person-centered plan # or % of students who are employed after program # or % of students who are living independently after program # or % of students who are seeking further education or training after program Student satisfaction with academic, occupational, social, and residential experiences Student progress as documented by assessment results | |

| | | | <u> </u> |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | | What employment, living, and educational outcomes are expected of students after program completion? | |
| 1.2 | Students' programs of study include development and application of self-determination skills (as indicated by assessment results). | How is student need and achievement regarding their self-determination assessed? What strategies are used to develop students' self-determination skills? How do students express self-determination when interacting with faculty, employers, and others in supervisory roles? To what extent do students manage their daily schedules of academic, social, and employment activities? | # or % of students who participate in self-determination activities # or % of students who manage their daily academic schedule # or % of students who manage their daily social schedule # or % of students who manage their daily employment schedule Names of assessments used to measure self-determination skill gain # of students who have participated in specific self-determination assessments |
| 1.3 | Students engage in integrated, paid work experiences aligned with career goals and interests (as indicated by assessment results). | In what kinds of inclusive work-based training experiences, internships, and or apprenticeships do your students participate? What will/does your program do to help students gain and maintain employment? As part of their program of study? As they are completing and exiting the program? How do students connect with community rehabilitation and other adult service providers to gain and maintain employment and or other needed support services? | # or % of students participating in apprenticeships # or % of students participating in integrated internships # or % of students participating in unpaid work experiences # or % of students participating in paid work experience # or % of students involved in other types of work-based training experiences, by type # or % of students who use supports # or % of students who are clients and receive employment-related services from outside agencies, by agency |
| 1.4 | Students know, request, and use accommodations necessary for full participation. | How are students' accommodation needs determined? How is the effectiveness of student accommodations assessed? How do students access support and coaching to | # or % of students accessing support, by type (e.g., tutoring, writing lab, assistive tech, etc.) # of students in the general student population who provide direct support |

| | promote academic and employment success? How do peers/mentors without disabilities provide support to students in your program (e.g., academic, employment, social, and other contexts)? What strategies are used to help students understand and access accommodations? | to students in the program (e.g., as mentors) # or % of FPCTP students who request support in each area: academic, employment, social, independent living # or % of FPCTP students who receive support, by support type in each area: academic, employment, social, independent living Student satisfaction with accommodations received, by type |
|---|---|---|
| 1.5 Students use technology (e.g., general and assistive technology) to support their engagement in academic, employment, social, and personal environments (as indicated by assessment results). | How are student technology needs identified? How does your program ensure that student technology needs are met? How is technology-related training provided to students? How are students made aware of safety precautions relevant to social media? Do students have the technology needed to fully engage in the campus community? | # or % of students who participated in technology- related trainings # or % of students who use technology in various settings (e.g., academic, employment, social, personal,) by setting # or % of students who request additional training on technology Technology provided, by technology type Technology support provided, by support type |
| 1.6 Students understand their postsecondary rights and responsibilities as reflected in the IHE's code of conduct. | How does your program review and address the IHE's code of conduct with students regarding: Academic rights and responsibilities Expected student behavior in various contexts How is student involvement in processes that support enrollment ensured (e.g., course registration, tuition payment, add-drop process, etc.)? | # or % of signed codes of conduct # of misconduct reports # of remediation meetings # and % of students accepted # and % of students enrolled on time # and % of students in defined program of study # and % of students who receive financial aid on time # and % of students with up-to-date accounts and records |

| | Students use financial aid as needed to support their enrollment and participation. | How and when do students learn about the FPCTP scholarship opportunity? How do students learn about and apply for Federal Financial Aid (if the program is a Federal Comprehensive Transition and Postsecondary Program) or other funding opportunities? | # or % of students who use FPCTP scholarships # or % of students who fill out FAFSA application # or % of FPCTP students receiving other financial aid or support |
|-----|---|---|--|
| 1.8 | Students determine how parents and family members are engaged in their postsecondary education experience. | How do students learn about their rights in relation to family involvement in their postsecondary education? How do families learn about their son's/daughter's rights as a postsecondary education student? How do students engage their families in their postsecondary education experience? | # or % of students who are their own guardians # or % of families engaged in campus activities Documentation of student permission regarding family involvement |
| | Benchmark | Domain 2: Faculty and Staff-Focused Suggested Reflective Questions | Suggested Indicators |
| 2.1 | Faculty engage in professional development to adapt teaching practices that meet the needs of all learners. | How are professional development needs identified? How are identified areas of need within the IHE used to design future professional development events? What professional development is available to IHE and program-specific faculty and staff? How do FPCTP staff and students increase understanding about the program and students' strengths and needs to other members of the IHE community? What learning outcomes do faculty and staff report from professional development? In what ways do faculty adapt their teaching practices to meet the needs of all learners? How do mentors and or program staff facilitate communication about specific learning needs? | Professional development needs identified # of professional development events hosted by the FPCTP # of attendees at FPCTP professional development events # of attendees at relevant non-FPCTP professional development events # or % of attendees reporting knowledge gains at FPCTP-hosted professional development events # of professional development events attended by FPCTP staff # or % of FPCTP staff reporting knowledge gains at professional development events attended |
| 2.2 | Faculty and staff ensure service, learning, social, and academic environments are accessible to support all learners. | How does your FPCTP rate or measure accessibility? What strategies do faculty and staff use to increase accessibility? What resources can faculty and staff access to | Examples of increased accessibility observed on campus # or % of students reporting satisfaction with level of accessibility |

| | improve accessibility? How are FPCTP program staff ensuring other IHE faculty and staff incorporate accessibility strategies? How do students rate the accessibility of service, learning, social, and academic environments? | # or % of FPCTP staff reporting satisfaction with level of accessibility # or % of overall IHE faculty, staff, and students reporting satisfaction with level of accessibility Resources available to faculty and staff to improve accessibility |
|--|--|---|
| 2.3 Faculty and staff across campus environments model high expect and respect for all students. | How does the IHE guide faculty and staff to model high expectations and respect for all learners? What resources does the IHE provide to help faculty and staff model high expectations and respect for all learners? How do faculty articulate their expectations of academic performance to their students? In what ways do faculty and staff express high expectations and respect for learners within their course materials? Do students feel respected and held to high expectations across all campus environments? | # of faculty and staff engaged in professional development regarding high expectations and respect Specific course materials that express high expectations and respect for all learners # or % of students reporting satisfaction with faculty and staff expectations and respect # or % of FPCTP staff reporting satisfaction with faculty and staff expectations and respect for their students |
| 2.4 Faculty and staff engage with prostaff to enhance key college and university services. | How do IHE faculty and staff reach out to FPCTP staff regarding relevant systems and services? How do FPCTP staff reach out to IHE faculty and staff regarding relevant systems and services? How do FPCTP staff and other IHE staff work together to identify areas for improvement across campus? How are identified areas of need used to drive professional development? How are identified areas of need used to drive system and service improvement? | # of IHE faculty and staff contacts with FPCTP staff regarding instructional issues # of FPCTP contacts with IHE faculty and staff regarding student support needs # and description of systems and or service needs identified # and description of systems and or service needs resolved |

| | Domain 3: Program and Institution-Focused | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| | Benchmark | Suggested Reflective Questions | Suggested Indicators | |
| 3.1 | Policies and procedures (IHE, program- specific, K-12 outreach) support student recruitment, enrollment, advising, and completion of the FPCTP. | Does the core FPCTP team include those who understand IHE policies and procedures and how they apply specifically to the FPCTP structure, procedures, policies, and students? How do program staff work with others across the IHE to ensure that the program is aligned with relevant systems and services? How do program staff work with others across the IHE to ensure that relevant systems and services meet the unique needs of the FPCTP and its students? How are students supported through all phases of participation in your program (i.e., entry, advising, throughout enrollment, transitioning out)? To what extent do students participate in graduation activities and other campus commemorations? How does the percentage of students with intellectual disabilities at your IHE compare to the percentage of individuals with intellectual disabilities within the target catchment area? | Core team membership # and % of students who make SAP # and % of students who complete their program of study # and % of students who earn their target credential # and % of FPCTP student receiving scholarships or other financial aid # and % of FPCTP students who participate in graduation activities and other campus commemorations? # and % of students with intellectual disabilities served by the IHE in relation to the # an % of individuals with intellectual disabilities within the target catchment area | |
| 3.2 | All campus services support student recruitment, enrollment, engagement, completion, and transition to employment. | How does your program ensure all members of the campus community are prepared to support students? How does your program and institution support student access to co-curricular activities (i.e., practicum and learning communities)? How does your program and institution support student access to extra-curricular activities (i.e., campus sponsored social, athletic, and student organization activities and service opportunities)? How does your program and institution support student access to on- and off-campus student housing resources? | # and % of students engaged in co-curricular activities # and % of students engaged in extracurricular activities # and % of students who access IHE-sponsored housing Student satisfaction with recruitment, enrollment, advising, instructional, support, residential, employment, and other relevant services | |

| 3.3 The IHE provides a meaningful credential upon completion of the program that leads to integrated, competitive employment. | How are employment and industry data used to identify a relevant, meaningful credential(s) offered by the IHE/FPCTP? How are employer needs and expectations used to develop the scope and sequence of curricula associated with the established credential(s)? What meaningful credential(s) does your IHE/FPCTP offer? What person-centered planning process is used to identify students' target credential and program of study? From what sources and how does the program gather assessment information to inform students' person-centered planning process? How does your program use academic advising to help students identify and progress toward a meaningful credential? How is student progress towards a meaningful credential determined? How is satisfactory academic progress (SAP) for FPCTP students defined? How is the FPCTP SAP policy aligned with that of | Employment data within target catchment area (e.g., job openings, skill requirements, wages, benefits, credential requirements, etc.) # and description of credentials offered by program # and description of industry certifications offered by program # and % of students enrolled in specific credential programs # and % of students who complete their program of study # and % of students who complete their target credential # and % of students who make satisfactory academic progress (SAP) # and % of students engaged in academic advising #, %, and wages of students employed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years from program completion |
|--|---|--|
| 3.4 The FPCTP provides a range of work experiences on and off campus, relevant to the student's target credential and aligned with the student's career goals and interests (e.g., internships, apprenticeships and other forms of work experience). | your IHE? How does your program establish agreements and collaborate with service providers, community agencies, and employers to provide a range of work experiences on and off campus? Who coordinates work experiences for your students? What work experiences are available to your students? How does your program communicate with employers regarding credentials earned by FPCTP students? | #, type, and characteristics of specific work experience opportunities available to students # and % of students engaged in work experience, relevant to the student's target credential and aligned with the student's career goals and interests Specific skills developed via work-experiences Student performance in work experience |

| 3.5 | Sufficient personnel, material, and fiscal resources are provided to support students' completion of their postsecondary education programming. | What is the capacity of the IHE and the FPCTP regarding the number of students served in the FPCTP? How does your program identify and access funding needed to support and sustain your FPCTP? How does your program access resources and technical assistance offered by the FCSUA? What staff roles and FTE assignments are needed to adequately support program functions? What resources are allocated to ensure high quality staff are recruited, hired, and retained within your FPCTP? When and how do FPCTP staff request FPCTP Scholarships for their students from FCSUA? How do program staff work with the IHE's financial aid office specifically to ensure disbursement of FPCTP scholarships and other scholarship funds to students? How does your program determine and obtain the most appropriate learning materials for FPCTP students (e.g., technology, assessments, academic support materials)? | # of students the FPCTP is designed to serve # of students served Program budget by major category Overage and shortfalls in funding by category FTE assignments by position and function # of program staff with experience or degrees aligned with their role and function relevant to serving students with intellectual disabilities in postsecondary education # and amount of FPCTP Scholarships requested and received by semester/term # and amount of FPCTP Scholarships disbursed to students by semester/term # of additional funding opportunities pursued by program # of additional funding sources secured by program # and description of specialized |
|-----|--|---|---|
| 3.6 | FERPA-aligned family outreach and engagement strategies support student recruitment, enrollment, engagement, completion, and transition to employment. | How is family engagement encouraged and supported by your program? How do program staff ensure family engagement activities are carried out with respect to FERPA regulations? How are student and family needs regarding engagement determined? | materials provided to students # and % of families engaged in students' person-centered planning process # and % of families satisfied with level of engagement # and % of students satisfied with family's level of engagement |
| 3.7 | Program evaluation is ongoing and used to inform FPCTP development and improvement. | How does your program use ongoing evaluation to guide strategic planning? How do you make evaluation results available to stakeholders and the public? | How and to whom evaluation results are disseminated Program characteristics or policies revised based on evaluation results |

| | How is student satisfaction measured? How are outcome data collected from students during program enrollment and at program exit? How are data collected from students after program completion? What additional stakeholders provide input to inform program evaluation? How many students complete their program of study? How many students earn their target credential? How many students who complete the program are employed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years from program completion? Of the students who complete the program and are employed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and or 5 years from program completion, what are their wages? | # and % of students who complete program of study # and % of students who earn target credential # and % of students for whom follow up data are collected #, %, and wages of students employed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years from program completion |
|---|--|---|
| | Domain 4: Concept and Systems Development | |
| Benchmark | Suggested Reflective Questions | Suggested Indicators |
| 4.1 The FPCTP aligns with and or extends the IHE's mission. | How does the FPCTP's mission align with the IHE's mission? Is the FPCTP (including credential) supported by the institution's leadership? Is the FPCTP (including credential) approved by the institution's governing board? | # or % of components within the IHE's mission that facilitate or inhibit postsecondary education for people with intellectual disabilities # of components of the FPCTP aligned with the strategic plan Documentation of FPCTP (including credential) approval by the institution's governing board Documentation of FPCTP credential approval via the institution's curriculum/credential approval process Letter from the institution's president/designated leader documenting support for the FPCTP |

| 4.2 | The IHE's value for and commitment to a diverse campus community, including students with intellectual disabilities, is demonstrated in institutional communications, strategic plan, mission statement, leadership's messages, and system reviews. | How is the value of diversity, including for students with intellectual disabilities, represented in the IHE's strategic plan? How are students with intellectual disabilities represented within the IHE's strategic plan and specific diversity components? In what ways is the IHEs focus and commitment to diversity included in institutional communications and various media? | > | # or % of components within the IHE's strategic plan that facilitate or inhibit postsecondary education for people with intellectual disabilities # or % of FPCTP students involved in the development or redevelopment of the IHE's plan regarding diversity Specific materials that express the value of and commitment to high expectations and respect for all learners |
|-----|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 4.3 | The IHE uses an agreed upon framework for overall service delivery, including disability services (e.g., accommodations, modifications, academic support). | What principles drive the IHE's service delivery? How was a framework for the IHE's overall service delivery developed? How is the framework for overall service delivery represented to members of the IHE community? What issues does your institution or program recognize related to the delivery of disability services? How are disability services accessed? How do members of the IHE community learn about disability service delivery? | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | % of IHE faculty, staff, and students indicating working knowledge of the IHE's framework for overall service delivery % of IHE faculty, staff, and students reporting satisfaction with overall service delivery % of IHE faculty, staff, and students indicating working knowledge of the IHE's framework for delivery of disability services % of IHE faculty, staff, and students reporting satisfaction with delivery of disability services % of IHE students with disabilities reporting satisfaction with delivery of disability services |
| 4.4 | The IHE uses an agreed upon framework for assessment and instruction that addresses the needs of all learners. | What principles drive instruction and assessment of learning? How does the institution's strategic plan address instruction and assessment of learning? How is the framework for instruction and assessment of learning represented to members of the IHE community? What instruments are used to assess student | , | % of IHE faculty, staff, and students indicating working knowledge of the IHE's framework for instruction and assessment of learning % of IHE faculty, staff, and students reporting satisfaction with their experience and outcomes % of IHE students with disabilities |

| | | learning?How are student's overall access, retention, completion, and outcomes assessed? | • | reporting satisfaction with their experience and outcomes Accreditation results |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| 4.5 | The IHE follows agreed upon standards of practice to meet the needs of all learners. | What standards of practice, performance, or ethics are followed? How were standards of practice, performance, or ethics selected? How are the standards of practice, performance, or ethics represented to members of the IHE community? | • | # of times the IHE's standards of practice, performance, or ethics are addressed with members of the IHE community % of IHE faculty, staff, and students who indicate working knowledge of the IHE's standards of practice, performance, or ethics Components of the IHE's standards of practice, performance, or ethics in which IHE faculty, staff, and students were involved in developing |
| 4.6 | As part of strategic planning and accreditation, the IHE uses agreed upon metrics or methods to evaluate the outcomes of all learners. | What evaluation methods or metrics are used? How were evaluation methods or metrics developed? How are members of the IHE community made aware of evaluation procedures? Who conducts evaluation at program and IHE levels? How are evaluation results shared with the IHE and broader community? How is input of other stakeholders (beyond the planning team) included in the planning process? What timeline does the strategic planning process follow? What impact has strategic planning had on your FPCTP? | , | # or % of IHE faculty and staff who indicate evaluation is: • part of an ongoing cycle of program development and improvement • system-wide • supported at all levels # or % of evaluations that include participation from all stakeholders (e.g., faculty and staff, administration, students, families, etc.) # or % of program decisions guided by student outcome and program evaluation data # of times evaluation results are shared with the IHE and broader community Changes to the strategic plan and resulting service delivery based on evaluation and assessment findings # of stakeholder groups represented in strategic planning Accreditation results |

| 4.7 The IHE engages with the community. | In what ways is your IHE engaged with the community? How is the community involved in program planning, implementation, and evaluation of the FPCTP? How has community engagement enhanced the | Specifics of community engagement with IHE and FPCTP # of advisory boards that include community members Components of the FPCTP impacted by community engagement |
|---|--|---|
| | How has community engagement enhanced the FPCTP? | community engagement |

Postsecondary Education Program Development Strategies

| Strategy | Definition | Key Characteristics |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Data-based Decision Making | Data regarding strengths, needs, and outcomes of relevant stakeholders are collected, analyzed, and used to improve postsecondary education programs. | Characteristics: IHE and FPCTP data collection methods and systems yield information that is valid and reliable. |

| Strategy | Definition | Key Characteristics |
|--|---|--|
| Capacity Building | FPCTP staff engage in capacity building for program development, implementation, and evaluation that include collaboration with relevant stakeholders to provide professional development and technical assistance. | Characteristics: Professional development (PD) and technical assistance (TA) needs are identified by FPCTP staff through formative and summative evaluations. TA and PD target audiences may include any relevant FPCTP stakeholders. PD and TA activities are designed through a collaborative process that includes PD and TA providers and target audiences. PD and TA activities are provided to facilitate implementation of evidence-based and promising practices for program improvement. PD and TA are based on consideration of organizational characteristics and needs. PD and TA are tied to program development and implementation and include feedback and continuous improvement as integral components. PD and TA are provided and evaluated by individuals with significant knowledge and skills regarding evidence-based and promising practices regarding students with intellectual disabilities in postsecondary education. |
| Resource Mapping and Development | Program planning team members identify currently available financial, material, and intellectual resources and determine how the resources are being leveraged to meet institution and program needs. | Resource mapping is conducted to better utilize resources to advance program development and improvement. Resource mapping information is used to improve and/or expand program services that maximize student outcomes. Information on current and potential resources is included in program evaluation and used to improve program services and student outcomes. |

| Strategy | Definition | Key Characteristics |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Partnership | FPCTP program staff develop and | FPCTP staff assess program and community needs and resources to identify |
| Development | sustain partnerships, internal and | partnerships. |
| | external to the IHE, for program | Partners may include but are not limited to: |
| | development, advancement, and | K-12 education systems |
| | optimization of student outcomes. | Adult service providers. |
| | | Employers, businesses, and other industry representatives. |
| | | Chambers of commerce. |
| | | Service learning providers. |
| | | Independent living centers. |
| | | Non-profit organizations. |
| | | Media. |
| | | Parent information and resource centers. |
| | | University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities Education, Research, |
| | | and Service (UCEDD). |

Sample FPCTP Plans

Example 1: Student-Focused

| Postsecondary Education Program Planning Area Student- Focused | Program and Institutional- Focused | Faculty and Staff-Focused | Concept and Systems Development |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Goal: Establish a meaningful credential leading to integrat | ted, competitive | Strategies (select all that apply | and include your goal- |
| employment of FPCTP students upon program completion | 1. | specific strategy for each item | |
| | | ☐ Data-based decision making | |
| | | ✓ Capacity building – Build cap team to develop credential. | pacity of FPCTP planning |
| | | ☑ Resource mapping and deve currently available credential re | |
| | | ☑ Partnership development – with employer partners. | Develop relationships |
| Tasks | | Person Responsible | Completion Date |
| Seek technical assistance through the FCSUA on credentia development | l requirements and | Kyle Hart, Marcy Walker | 12/1/17 |
| Review credentials already available at the IHE to identify the FPCTP | which may be used within | Marcy Walker | 3/1/18 |
| Reach out to employers within the IHE's catchment area t industry certifications and fields for the area | o identify relevant | Kyle Hart | 3/1/18 |
| Draft credential based on technical assistance information information from employers | n, existing credentials, and | Kyle Hart, Marcy Walker | 4/1/18 |
| Meet with IHE officials for credential review and revision, | repeat if needed | Kyle Hart, Marcy Walker | 5/1/18 |
| Finalize credential to include in FPCTP application | | Marcy Walker | 7/1/18 |
| | Outputs/ Products | | |
| Records of technical assistance received | | | |
| Materials and resources from technical assistance | | | |
| List of current applicable credentials offered by the IHE | | | |
| List of valuable credentials from employer contacts | | | |
| Draft of credential | | | |
| Revisions from IHE officials | | | |
| Finalized credential for FPCTP application | | | |

Example 1: Student-Focused cont'd

| Expected Outcomes | Indicators | | Data Sources |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Increase the number of credit earning options | # or % of students in courses for | credit | Student transcripts |
| towards completion of credential | # or % of students in courses for | non- | |
| | credit | | |
| | # or % of students in courses for | audit | |
| Development of a meaningful credential for | Finalized credential for FPCTP ap | plication | Application materials |
| FPCTP application | | | |
| Do you need additional stakeholders to assist with implementation or approve | | ✓ Yes | IHE and employer stakeholders to inform and |
| this goal? | | □ No | help develop credential |
| Do you need additional resources to implement this plan? | | ☐ Yes | |
| | | ☑ No | |
| Do you need additional technical assistance to implement this plan? | | ✓ Yes | Information from FCSUA and other suggested |
| bo you need additional technical assistance to i | implement this plant | □ No | stakeholders on credential development |
| | | | stakenoluers on credential development |

Example 2: Faculty and Staff-Focused

| Postsecondary Education Program Planning Area | Student- Focused | Faculty and Staff-Focused | Program and Institutional-Focused | Concept and Systems Development |
|--|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Goal: Increase the quality of instru | uction provided to all le | arners. | Strategies (select all that apply | and include your goal- |
| | | | specific strategy for each item s | elected): |
| | | | ☐ Data-based decision making | |
| | | | | professional development |
| | | | ☐ Resource mapping and deve | lopment |
| | | | ☐ Partnership development | |
| | Tasks | | Person Responsible | Completion Date |
| Identify current assessment of pro | fessional development | needs, if it exists | Adam Mowry, Frankie Sallis | 9/30/17 |
| Create evaluation of current profe | ssional development of | ferings on campus, | Adam Mowry, Frankie Sallis | 10/31/17 |
| including PD needs | | | | |
| Conduct campus wide evaluation to determine professional development needs | | Adam Mowry, Frankie Sallis | 12/2/17 | |
| across departments | | | | |
| Analyze evaluation results | | Lydia Miller | 2/1/18 | |
| Establish or review professional de | evelopment requiremen | nts on campus | Sara Zomer, Ashley Graham | 2/1/18 |
| Create a professional developmen | t schedule based on res | sults | Sara Zomer, Ashley Graham 2/27/18 | |
| Create professional development of | courses/webinars/work | shops | Sara Zomer, professional | 4/1/18 |
| | | | learning department | |
| Create evaluation of professional of | development offerings | | Adam Mowry, Frankie Sallis | 4/1/18 |
| Market professional development offerings across campus, including | | Sara Zomer, Ashley Graham 5/1/18; ongoing | | |
| requirements | | | | |
| Conduct and evaluate professional development offerings | | Sara Zomer, professional | As offerings are | |
| | | | learning department | complete |
| Conduct follow ups with IHE staff a | and faculty to determing | e fidelity of | Sara Zomer, Ashley Graham | 3 and 6 months after |
| implementation of practices introd | Juced in workshops/coι | urses/webinars | | offering |

Example 2: Faculty and Staff-Focused cont'd

| Outputs/ Products | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Professional development evaluation | | | | | |
| Campus policy on professional development | Campus policy on professional development | | | | |
| Professional development evaluation results | | | | | |
| Professional development schedule of offering | gs | | | | |
| Materials from offerings (e.g., syllabus, pretes | ts, posttests, etc.) | | | | |
| Professional development evaluations | | | | | |
| Evaluation report | | | | | |
| Marketing materials | | | | | |
| Follow up records | | | | | |
| Expected Outcomes | Indicators | | Data Sources | | |
| Increased professional development options | Professional development needs | identified | Review of current and new professional | | |
| for faculty and relevant campus personnel | | | development schedules | | |
| to improve their ability to support FPCTP | | | | | |
| students | | | | | |
| Increased number of faculty with skills to | # of professional development ev | ents | Sign in sheets | | |
| provide instruction that meets the needs of | hosted by the FPCTP | | Student evaluations of faculty | | |
| all learners in their courses. | # of attendees at FPCTP profession | nal | Student retention records | | |
| | development events | | | | |
| | # and % of faculty with skill increa | ises | | | |
| | Student performance | | | | |
| | Student retention | | | | |
| Do you need additional stakeholders to assist | with implementation or approve | ☐ Yes | | | |
| this goal? | | ✓ No | | | |
| Do you need additional resources to implement this plan? | | ✓ Yes | Access to current PD plans, and evaluation | | |
| | | □ No | results | | |
| Do you need additional technical assistance to | implement this plan? | ✓ Yes | Professional learning department | | |
| | | ПΝο | | | |

Example 3: Program and Institution-Focused

| Postsecondary Education Program Planning Area Student- Focused | Faculty and Staff-Focused | Program and Institutional-Focused | Concept and Systems Development |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Goal: Ensure relevant members of the campus communi | | Strategies (select all that apply | |
| support FPCTP student recruitment, enrollment, engagen | nent, completion, and | specific strategy for each item s | • |
| transition to employment | | ☐ Data-based decision making | 5 |
| | | ☐ Capacity building | |
| | | Resource mapping and deve | elopment – <i>Map academic</i> |
| | | support service resources and a | levelop features to |
| | | improve accessibility for FPCTP | students. |
| | | ☐ Partnership development | |
| Tasks | | Person Responsible | Completion Date |
| Establish and use an interdisciplinary team to determine | system/student | | |
| interaction issues across functions | | | |
| Conduct needs assessment regarding accessibility strengt | ths and issues | Terri Franc | 10/1/17 |
| Identify specific support needs within specific campus un | its | Terri Franc, John Gee | 12/1/17 |
| Identify system improvement strategies | | Terri Franc, John Gee | 6/1/18 |
| Develop guidelines and resources for specific units servin | g FPCTP students | Terri Franc, John Gee | 6/1/18 |
| | Outputs | | |
| List of support services available on campus | | | |
| Needs assessment | | | |
| Chart of strengths and needs for specific units | | | |
| Operational guidelines | | | |
| Resources for serving students with ID during recruitmen | t, enrollment, engagement | t, completion, and transition to e | mployment |
| Record of system improvements | | | |

Example 3: Program and Institution-Focused cont'd

| Expected Outcomes | Indicators | | Data Sources |
|---|--|-------|---|
| Increased student satisfaction with campus | Student satisfaction with recruitment, | | Student survey |
| community support | enrollment, advising, instructiona | l, | |
| | support, residential, employment | , and | |
| | other relevant services | | |
| Increased student engagement with campus-wide academic support services | # and % of students engaged in co curricular activities |)- | Student activity logs |
| | # and % of students engaged in e | xtra- | |
| | curricular activities | | |
| | # and % of students who access I | HE- | |
| | sponsored housing | | |
| Do you need additional stakeholders to assist | with implementation or approve | ✓ Yes | IHE academic support service coordinators |
| this goal? | | □ No | |
| Do you need additional resources to impleme | nt this plan? | ☐ Yes | |
| | | ☑ No | |
| Do you need additional technical assistance to | implement this plan? | ☐ Yes | |
| | | ✓ No | |

Example 4: Concept and Systems Development

| Postsecondary Education Program Planning Area Student- Focused | Program and Institutional- Focused | Faculty and Staff-Focused | Concept and ☑ Systems Development |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Goal: Adopt agreed upon standards of practice to mee | t the needs of all learners, | Strategies (select all that apply | and include your goal- |
| including those with unique abilities | | specific strategy for each item s | elected): |
| | | ☐ Data-based decision making | - |
| | | ✓ Capacity building - | |
| | | ☐ Resource mapping and deve | lopment - |
| | | ☐ Partnership development - | |
| Tasks | | Person Responsible | Completion Date |
| Identify current standards of practice, performance, and | d ethics | Carrie Pearson, Justin Lankes | 10/18/17 |
| Establish a stakeholder committee to review, revise, an | d create standards | Carrie Pearson, George Lucas | 12/10/17 |
| Collect standards from other FPCTP programs, IHEs, and | d other national | Mallory Hill, Sophie Roys | 12/10/17 |
| postsecondary programs | | | |
| Create a set of agendas for review meetings | | Carrie Pearson, Sara Zomer | 1/15/18 |
| Conduct series of review meetings, using a refining product | cess | Carrie Pearson, Sara Zomer | 5/15/18 |
| Create final standards for review with the school board | | Carrie Pearson, Justin Lankes 6/20/18 | |
| Review and revise standards | | Sara Zomer | 7/18/18 |
| Create marketing campaign to disseminate new standar | rds | Mallory Hill, Lydia Miller | 7/18/18 |
| Hold informational meetings to share standards across | the campus and | Sara Zomer and Carrie | 8/1/18 – 11/10/18 |
| community | | Pearson | |
| | Outputs/ Products | | |
| Current standards | | | |
| Standard examples from other sources | | | |
| Review meeting agendas and materials | | | |
| Final standards | | | |
| Dissemination plan | | | |
| Agendas from informational meetings | | | |
| Evaluations from informational meetings | | | |

Example 4: Concept and Systems Development cont'd

| Expected Outcomes | Indicators | | Data Sources |
|--|---|-----------|--|
| Increase knowledge of standards | # of times the IHE's standards of practice, | | Evaluation |
| | performance, or ethics are address | ssed with | |
| | members of the IHE community | | |
| | % of IHE faculty, staff, and studer | ts who | |
| | indicate working knowledge of th | e IHE's | |
| | standards of practice, performan | ce, or | |
| | ethics | | |
| Do you need additional stakeholders to assist | with implementation or approve | ✓ Yes | Committee members, school board members, |
| this goal? | | □ No | students |
| Do you need additional resources to impleme | nt this plan? | ☐ Yes | |
| | | ✓ No | |
| B | | [7] V. | Palla di anciata di an |
| Do you need additional technical assistance to | o implement this plan? | ✓ Yes | Policy writing assistance |
| | | □ No | |